



## **Report of the Police and Crime Commissioner for the Performance Scrutiny Panel 22<sup>nd</sup> May 2014**

**Executive & Presenting Officer:  
Sarah Wilson - Governance Officer (Consultation and Engagement)**

**Status: For Noting**

### **Consultation Report**

#### **1. Purpose of the Report**

- 1.1 Both the Force and Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) have a clear duty to consult with local communities as set out in several pieces of legislation. Duties which were previously placed upon Police Authorities have been transferred to the PCC. The Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act expands upon these duties, stating that the PCC has a duty to make arrangements for obtaining:
- the views of victims of crime in that area about matters concerning the policing of the area
  - before a police and crime plan is issued, the views of the people in that police area, and the views of the victims of crime in that area, on that plan
  - the views of the people in that police area, and the relevant ratepayers' representatives, on the proposals of the police and crime commissioner for expenditure in that financial year
- 1.2 In addition the Act places a duty on the Chief Constable to make arrangements for:
- obtaining the views of persons within each neighbourhood in the relevant police area about crime and disorder in that neighbourhood
  - providing persons within each neighbourhood in the relevant police area with information about policing in that neighbourhood
  - the holding in each neighbourhood of regular police and community ward meetings
- 1.3 This report details the results on consultation undertaken by the PCC and Force since the PCC took office in November 2012.

## **2. Executive Summary**

- 2.1 Neighbourhood Policing teams hold public meetings in all of Cleveland's 82 wards to assist in setting neighbourhood priorities. By far the most common issue raised is antisocial behaviour, predominantly in the form of underage drinking and groups congregating, especially around shops and in open areas such as parks. Drugs are also raised as a concern across all four Local Policing Areas.
- 2.2 Off road motorbikes are mentioned as a particular issue in Redcar and Cleveland, and theft is a particular concern across Stockton, both through shoplifting and metal theft.
- 2.3 Other commonly raised issues are criminal damage and vehicle offences, namely problem parking, usually around schools, and speeding.
- 2.4 The priorities generally focus on anti-social behaviour and low level criminal offences. More serious offences mentioned are prostitution in Middlesbrough and Stockton and domestic burglary in Stockton and Hartlepool.
- 2.5 More strategic issues tended to be raised with the PCC at meetings he attended, with the main area of focus being concern around neighbourhood policing numbers, with many communities feeling that they were receiving a reduced neighbourhood policing service with more abstractions from neighbourhoods and reduced staffing numbers. There was particular concern in areas where PCSO numbers were being reduced and in quieter rural areas where crime rates are low. Some concern was raised regarding the lack of neighbourhood resources after 10:00pm and the perceived rise in antisocial behaviour after this time.
- 2.6 Communities also raised concerns around the lack of stability of neighbourhood teams with officers not remaining in post for long enough and there being a lack of resilience to cover long term sickness.
- 2.7 There was concern regarding the impact of budget cuts on neighbourhood policing and on other front line resources, and of the steps having to be taken to reduce budgets such as the disbanding of the Mounted Section.
- 2.8 Another commonly raised issue was the perception of police corruption following Operation Sacristy, and the steps being taken to ensure the future integrity of those working within Cleveland Police.
- 2.9 Issues were raised in several meetings in Redcar and Cleveland around a reduction in information received through Neighbourhood Watch.
- 2.10 Rural areas raised concern regarding the apparent inability of the police to deal with rural concerns such as property damage due to quad bikes, and in particular the inability of officers to pursue perpetrators due to health and safety. There was recognition however of the specific work being done regarding these issues by the

dedicated Rural Crime officers who had established good links with the rural community.

- 2.11 The disabled community raised concerns around disability hate crime, particularly on public transport and work is being undertaken with local transport providers to highlight the issue and encourage reporting of incidents as they occur.
- 2.12 Young people spoken to at the four Local Policing Area cadet groups were generally supportive of the police, as would be expected, but some did say that some officers in communities can be a little 'heavy handed' when dealing with young people, and better engagement with young people would lead to an increase in confidence with this age group.
- 2.13 Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender communities were reluctant to report hate crime incidents to the police fearing that their concerns would not be dealt with sensitively or that no action would be taken. LGB&T communities also stated that they would prefer to report incidents to a dedicated Hate Crime Officer rather than contacting the Control Room directly.
- 2.14 Public confidence in policing, as measured through the Local Public Confidence Survey, had fallen in all areas when compared to the previous year's survey results. Those surveyed this year were less likely to have confidence in the police within their local area, less likely to feel that the police did a good or excellent job and less likely to feel they were dealing with local concerns.
- 2.15 The percentage of people who felt that there was a high level of antisocial behaviour in their area had risen, as had the proportion of people who felt that their quality of life was affected by fear of crime.
- 2.16 The top three neighbourhood issues highlighted through the survey were speeding or other motoring offences, litter and teenagers hanging around on the streets.
- 2.17 Confidence in policing as measured through the National Crime Survey for England and Wales has fallen compared to the previous reporting period, with Cleveland rating below the Most Similar Group (MSG) average for two of the three key confidence questions - whether respondents felt that the police were doing a good or excellent job, and that the police and partner agencies were dealing with local concerns. When considering the actions of the police in isolation, Cleveland rates slightly above the MSG average, but confidence levels have still fallen compared to the previous reporting period.
- 2.18 Cleveland now ranks 24th in the country for the key confidence question relating to multi agency response to local concerns, a reduction in 9 places from the previous quarter. In previous years Cleveland was consistently in the top three forces in the country for this measure.

- 2.19 Overall victim satisfaction as measured through Victim Satisfaction Surveys has risen for all measures in the latest survey results, with the highest rise being in the area of follow up, although this still remains by far the lowest area of confidence.
- 2.20 Regarding follow up, the most frequent reasons for giving a negative response were no feedback or information provided at all, police not getting in touch when they said they would or a general lack of support and advice. Regarding treatment by officers and staff, the most frequent reasons for giving a negative response were that victims were made to feel they had done something wrong or were wasting officer's time, the poor or unprofessional attitude or manner of the officer or staff member who dealt with them or a feeling that the police had done nothing to resolve the incident.
- 2.21 The Force have established a Victim Satisfaction Working Group which is looking at ways to drive up victim satisfaction. Consultation with victims is also being undertaken by Safe In Tees Valley to provide an overview of current victims services in preparation for October 2014 when the Commissioner will be responsible for commissioning victims services.

### **3 Overall Consultation Results**

- 3.1 Neighbourhood Ward Priorities
- 3.2 Neighbourhood Policing Teams hold a series of regular meetings with their local communities. These range from structured meetings such as Community Councils, which may also involve other partner agencies, to more informal drop-ins and ward surgeries. Due to increased pressure on neighbourhood resources the meetings structure has been recently reviewed, with poorly attended drop ins being replaced by attendance at established community meetings such as church coffee mornings.
- 3.3 Each Neighbourhood Policing Team establishes a set of up to three local ward priorities, which are reviewed and updated regularly. Under the original Neighbourhood Policing structure these priorities were to be established solely based on public consultation through ward meetings, but due to low attendance at meetings in many areas, the priorities now reflect both the opinions of the public and officer knowledge of the local area.
- 3.4 Details of the current ward priorities for all 82 Cleveland wards are at Appendix 1. By far the most common issue raised is anti-social behaviour, predominantly in the form of underage drinking and groups congregating, especially around shops and in open areas such as parks. Drugs are also raised as a concern across all four Local Policing Areas.
- 3.5 Off road motorbikes are mentioned as a particular issue in Redcar and Cleveland, and theft is a particular concern across Stockton, both through shoplifting and metal theft.

- 3.6 Other commonly raised issues are criminal damage and vehicle offences, namely problem parking, usually around schools, and speeding.
- 3.7 The priorities generally focus on anti-social behaviour and low level criminal offences. More serious offences mentioned are prostitution in Middlesbrough and Stockton and domestic burglary in Stockton and Hartlepool.
- 3.8 Many Middlesbrough and Hartlepool wards have moved towards establishing a single priority, whereas wards in the other Local Policing Areas continue to have several priorities established.
- 3.9 Many of the priorities across all four Local Policing Areas remain the same since the last report.
- 3.10 Your Force Your Voice
- 3.11 The PCC has made a commitment to visit neighbourhood meetings in all 82 ward areas across Cleveland on an annual basis, in an initiative entitled 'Your Force Your Voice'. Details of the issues raised at each meeting attended are at Appendix 2
- 3.12 Both Middlesbrough and Redcar and Cleveland have structured multi agency community meetings at a ward level which the PCC has attended – Community Councils and Neighbourhood Action Partnerships respectively. Hartlepool have two Neighbourhood Forums covering North and South Hartlepool, so these have been attended, together with more localised resident meetings at a ward level. In Stockton there is no formal meeting structure so attendance has been purely at community resident meetings or police ward surgeries.
- 3.13 The PCC has visited several colleges, attended a primary school conference and visited the Force cadet units to hear the views of young people, and has also met with over 50s Forums and visit sheltered accommodation to hear the concerns of the elderly. Engagement with minority groups has been undertaken covering disability, rural communities, refugees and asylum seekers and lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender communities.
- 3.14 Issues raised at the 'Your Force Your Voice' meetings are split between localised issues, as highlighted through the Neighbourhood Policing Meetings, and more strategic issues which the community have wished to raise with the PCC. Where localised issues were raised these were generally dealt with at the meeting by the neighbourhood officers present. Any issues not dealt with at meeting were directed to the police for action following the meetings.
- 3.15 The most commonly raised strategic issue was concern around neighbourhood policing numbers, with many communities feeling that they were receiving a reduced neighbourhood policing service with more abstractions from neighbourhoods and reduced staffing numbers. There was particular concern in areas where PCSO numbers

were being reduced and in quieter rural areas where crime rates are low. Some concern was raised regarding the lack of neighbourhood resources after 10:00pm and the perceived rise in antisocial behaviour after this time.

- 3.16 Communities also raised concerns around the lack of stability of neighbourhood teams with officers not remaining in post for long enough and there being a lack of resilience to cover long term sickness.
- 3.17 Concern was raised regarding the impact of budget cuts on neighbourhood policing and on other front line resources.
- 3.18 Another commonly raised issue was the perception of police corruption following Operation Sacristy, and the steps being taken to ensure the future integrity of those working within Cleveland Police.
- 3.19 Issues were raised in several meetings in Redcar and Cleveland around a reduction in information received through Neighbourhood Watch.
- 3.20 There was interest in specific police initiatives including the recruitment of Special Constables, the relocation of Force Headquarters from Ladgate Lane, and the future plans for recruitment of police constables.
- 3.21 The community issues raised varied greatly, covering speeding, problematic parking, especially around schools, off road bikes, anti-social behaviour, including youth nuisance and problem tenants, drug dealing and criminal damage. In general the community issues raised were already neighbourhood policing priorities, or failing this the police were aware and were undertaking actions to resolve.
- 3.22 Rural areas raised concern regarding the apparent inability of the police to deal with rural concerns such as property damage due to quad bikes, and in particular the inability of officers to pursue perpetrators due to health and safety. There was recognition however of the specific work being done regarding these issues by the dedicated Rural Crime officers who had established good links with the rural community.
- 3.23 The disabled community raised concerns around disability hate crime, particularly on public transport and work is being undertaken with local transport providers to highlight the issue and encourage reporting of incidents as they occur.
- 3.24 Young people spoken to at the four Local Policing Area cadet groups showed a keen interest in future police recruitment plans. Issues raised from police response to domestic violence and hate crime to the Commissioner's views on the impact of video games on violence levels in young men. Generally the cadets were supportive of the police, as would be expected, but some did say that some officers in communities can be a little 'heavy handed' when dealing with young people, and better engagement with young people would lead to an increase in confidence with this age group.

- 3.25 Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender (LGB&T) communities were reluctant to report hate crime incidents to the police fearing that their concerns would not be dealt with sensitively or that no action would be taken. All front line staff, including Control Room operators have received hate crime training and work is being undertaken to establish a spot check system to ensure that hate crime incidents are dealt with appropriately when reported through the Control Room.
- 3.26 LGB&T communities stated that they would prefer to report incidents to a dedicated Hate Crime Officer rather than contacting the Control Room directly.
- 3.27 Local Public Confidence Survey
- 3.28 The Local Public Confidence Survey (LPCS) is a joint PCC and Force survey which is conducted via telephone interview by a third party independent market research company. The aim of the survey is to measure public confidence and to understand the factors associated with it.
- 3.29 A total of 2400 interviews are conducted each year on a rolling basis, providing a statistically significant and representative baseline sample from each of the four policing districts.
- 3.30 When comparing interviews conducted between April 2013 and March 2014 with the previous year there has been a reduction of public confidence in all areas. Those surveyed in 2013/14 were less likely to have confidence in the police within their local area, less likely to feel that the police did a good or excellent job and less likely to feel they were dealing with local concerns.
- 3.31 The percentage of people who felt that there was a high level of antisocial behaviour in their area had risen, as had the proportion of people who felt that their quality of life was affected by fear of crime.
- 3.32 The top three neighbourhood issues highlighted through the survey were speeding or other motoring offences, litter and teenagers hanging around on the streets.
- 3.33 The table below shows further details of the results of LPCS:

	<b>12 months ending March 2014</b>	<b>12 months ending March 2013</b>	<b>Difference (% points)</b>
Public Confidence	84.4%	87.0%	-2.5
Good/Excellent Job	64.1%	69.3%	-5.2
Dealing with local concerns	69.8%	75.8%	-5.9
Fear of crime & quality of life	17.3%	13.8%	+3.4
Perceptions (ASB)	6.1%	3.7%	+2.3

3.34 Crime Survey for England and Wales

3.35 The Crime Survey for England and Wales is a national face to face survey which asks people about crimes they have experienced in the last 12 months, their perception of crime, their quality of life and the services delivered by the Criminal Justice System. Results are available at Force level only.

3.36 The survey collects information about:

- the victims of crime
- the circumstances in which incidents occur
- the behaviour of offenders in committing crimes

3.37 The survey is also an important source of information about other topics, such as people’s perceptions of anti-social behaviour, attitudes towards the criminal justice system, including the police and the courts and fear of crime.

3.38 CSEW interviews are conducted on a rolling 12 months basis, with the latest results available dating back to December 2013. Many of the questions asked in LPCS are the same as those asked in CSEW, however as the methodologies used differ direct comparisons cannot be made.

3.39 Confidence in policing as measured through CSEW has fallen compared to the previous reporting period, with Cleveland rating below the Most Similar Group (MSG) average for two of the three key confidence questions - whether respondents felt that the police were doing a good or excellent job, and that the police and partner agencies were dealing with local concerns. When considering the actions of the police in isolation, Cleveland rates slightly above the MSG average, but confidence levels have still fallen compared to the previous reporting period. Respondents were more likely to have confidence in the actions of the police, than in the joint actions of the police and local agencies.

3.40 Cleveland only ranks 24th in the country for the key confidence question relating to multi agency response to local concerns, a reduction in 9 places from the previous quarter. In previous years Cleveland was consistently in the top three forces in the country for this measure. The table below shows further details of the results of CSEW:

	<b>12 months to Dec 2013</b>	<b>12 months to Dec 2012</b>	<b>Diff (% pts)</b>	<b>MSG Average</b>	<b>Diff (% pts)</b>	<b>MSG Rank</b>	<b>National Position</b>
Good/Excellent Job	59.5%	60.7%	-1.2	60.9%	-0.1	5	30
Dealing local concerns – police	60.2%	62.8%	-2.6	59.0%	-2.0	3	24



and agencies							
Dealing local concerns – police	60.8%	64.1%	-3.3	61.4%	+0.2	3	24

3.41 Victim Satisfaction Surveys

3.42 Victim Satisfaction Surveys are carried out by every Force as part of the Home Office performance requirements.

3.43 The surveys are conducted via telephone interview, with a mandatory framework of core questions, covering:

- Satisfaction with the whole experience (i.e. the overall service provided)
- Satisfaction with:
  - First contact – making contact with the police
  - Action taken by the police
  - Follow-up – being kept informed of progress
  - Treatment by staff

3.44 The surveys are conducted by a third party independent market research company, and cover victims of five specific crime types - domestic burglary, vehicle crime, violent crime, racist incidents and road traffic collisions.

3.45 There has been an improvement in victim satisfaction for all measures in the latest survey results, with the highest rise being in the area of follow up, although this still remains by far the lowest area of confidence.

3.46 Regarding follow up, the most frequent reasons for giving a negative response were no feedback or information provided at all, police not getting in touch when they said they would or a general lack of support and advice. Regarding treatment by officers and staff, the most frequent reasons for giving a negative response were that victims were made to feel they had done something wrong or were wasting officer's time, the poor or unprofessional attitude or manner of the officer or staff member who dealt with them or a feeling that the police had done nothing to resolve the incident.

3.47 The Force have established a Victim Satisfaction Working Group which is looking at ways to drive up victim satisfaction. Consultation with victims is also being undertaken by Safe In Tees Valley to provide an overview of current victims services in preparation for October 2014 when the Commissioner will be responsible for commissioning victims services. The table below shows further details of the results of Victim Satisfaction Surveys:

	12 months ending March 2014	12 months ending March 2013	Diff (% pts)
Whole experience	85.0%	82.9%	+2.1
Ease of contact	97.0%	96.5%	+0.5
Actions	83.7%	81.4%	+2.3

Follow up	74.8%	71.4%	+3.3
Treatment	93.1%	90.9%	+2.2

**Sarah Wilson - Governance Officer (Consultation and Engagement)**

**Middlesbrough Ward Priorities** (those marked \* are new priorities this quarter)

<b>Neighbourhood Sector</b>	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Neighbourhood Priorities</b>
NPT1	Ayresome	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour around the Acklam Green Centre and Trinity Church*</li> </ul>
	Gresham	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prostitution on Union Street</li> <li>• Drug Dealing on Finsbury Street and surrounding area</li> </ul>
	Linthorpe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No priorities set</li> </ul>
	Middlehaven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Anti-social Behaviour in and around McDonalds, Linthorpe Road</li> </ul>
	Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No priorities set</li> </ul>
	University	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No priorities set*</li> </ul>
NPT2	Beckfield	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour at Glastonbury House*</li> </ul>
	North Ormesby & Brambles Farm	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Safer Streets Scheme Peaton Street and Henry Street</li> <li>• Safer Streets Scheme Pilkington Street</li> </ul>
	Pallister	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour at Norfolk shops</li> <li>• Cycling in prohibited area at Norfolk shops</li> </ul>
	Park End	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No priorities set</li> </ul>
	Thorntree	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Youths throwing missiles around Albury Way/Brandon Road/Roworth Road/Midhurst Road areas</li> </ul>
NPT3	Acklam	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parking issues at Hall Drive*</li> </ul>
	Beechwood	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and Drug Dealing in the alleyways between Holmwood, Saltersgill and Collin Avenue</li> </ul>
	Brookfield	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No priorities set*</li> </ul>
	Clairville	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drug Dealing Eden Road/Marton Grove Road*</li> </ul>
	Kader	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No priorities set</li> </ul>
	Ladgate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour at Broughton Avenue shops and Hub</li> </ul>

<b>Neighbourhood Sector</b>	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Neighbourhood Priorities</b>
NPT4	Coulby Newham	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No priorities set</li> </ul>
	Hemlington	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour in and around the Viewley Centre*</li> </ul>
	Marion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour at Marion Shops</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour at the steps leading from Rosewood Court to Elm Drive*</li> </ul>
	Marion West	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Speeding vehicles on Gunnergate Lane</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and fires in Fairy Dell*</li> </ul>
	Nunthorpe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Speeding on Mallowdale</li> <li>• Speeding on Clevegate*</li> </ul>
	Stainton and Thornton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No priorities set</li> </ul>

**Hartlepool Ward Priorities** (those marked \* are new priorities this quarter)

<b>Neighbourhood Sector</b>	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Neighbourhood Priorities</b>
South & Central	Burn Valley	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and drugs in Cornwall Street</li> <li>• Drugs and Antisocial Behaviour in the Devon Street area</li> </ul>
	Fens and Rossmere	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No priorities set*</li> </ul>
	Foggy Furze	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and under age drinking*</li> </ul>
	Manor House	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour in Eskdale Road*</li> </ul>
	Rural West	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour in Tunstall Court</li> </ul>
	Victoria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drug Activity in Stephen Street</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and Drugs activity in Belk Street</li> </ul>
North & Coastal	De Bruce	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Burglary and Other Theft*</li> </ul>
	Hart	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Poaching</li> </ul>
	Headland and Harbour	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drugs and Antisocial Behaviour in the Burbank Area</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour on West View Road and Central Estate*</li> </ul>
	Jesmond	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and Theft Allerton Close*</li> </ul>
	Seaton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and Criminal Damage at Jutland Road / Seaton Lane</li> </ul>

**Redcar and Cleveland Ward Priorities** (those marked \* are new priorities this quarter)

<b>Neighbourhood Sector</b>	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Neighbourhood Priorities</b>
Greater Eston	Eston	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Off Road Motorbikes reported in Whale Hill particularly the white path leading on to the High Street, Lazenby mainly Grange Estate and Bankfields*</li> </ul>
	Grangetown	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour in and around McColls, Birchington Avenue</li> </ul>
	Normanby	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of motor vehicles &amp; Antisocial Behaviour in the area of Flatts Lane Centre</li> </ul>
	Ormesby	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stone throwing onto A174 Parkway</li> </ul>
	South Bank	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour King Street/Victoria Street</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour Hampden Street</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour Golden Boy Green</li> </ul>
	Teesville	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial use of off road bikes at Eston Tip</li> </ul>
Coastal	Coatham	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Youth Antisocial Behaviour around McDonalds, Thrush Road*</li> <li>• Theft and handling in Town Centre</li> </ul>
	Dormanstown	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Off Road Motorbikes around Wilton Avenue/South Avenue and Staithes Road</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and criminal damage Westfield Court*</li> </ul>
	Kirkleatham	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour with off road motorbikes and quad bikes</li> <li>• Youth Antisocial Behaviour and Criminal Damage in the Roseberry Road, Low Farm Drive, Micklow Close and Bylands Close area</li> </ul>
	Longbeck	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parking and speeding issues around New Marske primary School</li> </ul>
	Newcomen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour in the area of the Closes</li> <li>• Off road bikes in the area of the Closes*</li> </ul>
	Saltburn	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Youths playing football in Saltburn square</li> </ul>
	St Germain's	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour at the Wynd, High Street</li> </ul>
	West Dyke	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial behaviour and Criminal Damage throughout the</li> </ul>

Neighbourhood Sector	Ward	Neighbourhood Priorities
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>walkways and cycle paths within Ings Estate</li> <li>• Inappropriate use of vehicles in Tesco car park*</li> </ul>
	Zetland	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and Criminal Damage in the Lily Park</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and Criminal Damage at the location of Fisherman's Square*</li> </ul>
Guisborough & East Cleveland	Brotton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and Criminal Damage around Grosvenor Terrace and Coronation Street, Carlin How*</li> </ul>
	Guisborough	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour reported between the crossroads of Woodhouse Road, Venables Road and Howlbeck Road</li> <li>• Supply of Class A drugs in the Guisborough area</li> </ul>
	Hutton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour reported Dorset Road and Ledbury Way</li> <li>• Off road motorcycles and unauthorised vehicles Guisborough woods</li> <li>• Supply of Class A, B &amp; C drugs in the Hutton area at all times</li> </ul>
	Lockwood	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Speeding across Lockwood ward</li> <li>• Excessive vehicle speed – Stanghow</li> <li>• Supply and misuse of drugs in Lingdale</li> </ul>
	Loftus	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Supply and misuse of drugs in Loftus</li> <li>• Excessive vehicle speed - Whitby Road, Loftus</li> <li>• Alcohol related Antisocial Behaviour West Road</li> </ul>
	Skelton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour, underage drinking and criminal damage in the Rievaulx Road/Jervaulx Road area</li> <li>• Supply and misuse of controlled substances within the Skelton Ward</li> </ul>
	Westworth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drug Dealing in Boosbeck</li> <li>• Off road vehicles on Ridge Road and Guisborough Woods on weekends</li> </ul>

**Stockton Ward Priorities** (those marked \* are new priorities this quarter)

<b>Neighbourhood Sector</b>	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Neighbourhood Priorities</b>
S1	Bishopsgarth & Elm Tree	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour around Airborough Close and Spenborough Road</li> </ul>
	Fairfield	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour in Limbrick Avenue and Brookfield Road</li> </ul>
	Grangefield	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Burglaries in and around Barnard Avenue and Grosvenor Road*</li> </ul>
	Hardwick	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour in High Newham Court*</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour in the 'W' block and Off Road Motor Bikes on Hardwick and Salters Lane Estate*</li> </ul>
	Hartburn	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Theft in and around Premier Parade shops</li> </ul>
	Newtown	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour on Dundas Street, Orde Wingate Way and Pencil Park</li> <li>• Drug dealing on the Wrensfield estate</li> </ul>
	Norton North	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shop theft in Coop</li> </ul>
	Norton South	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Metal Theft from properties, lead flashing from exteriors and cooper from voids in the Swainby Road area</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour in the Albany Area</li> </ul>
	Norton West	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour in and around sports complex on Station Road</li> </ul>
	Parkfield and Oxbridge	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drugs dealing and prostitution, in Wren Street, Suffolk Street, Northcote Street, Dennison Street and Yarm Road</li> </ul>
	Roseworth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and nuisance caused by off road bikes*</li> </ul>
	Town Centre	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retail theft in town centre and Portrack Lane</li> <li>• Drug dealing in and around Mill Lane Estate</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and drinking around Lawson Street Medical Centre and Hartington Road</li> <li>• Youth Antisocial Behaviour around Tilery</li> </ul>
Western Parishes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour in Stillington</li> </ul>	



<b>Neighbourhood Sector</b>	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Neighbourhood Priorities</b>
S2	Billingham Central	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour within the Town Centre and John Whitehead Park</li> </ul>
	Billingham East	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour at Quenby Road and Low Grange Avenue shops</li> </ul>
	Billingham North	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour around Casson Way</li> </ul>
	Billingham South	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour around The Green, Belasis Avenue and Mill Lane</li> </ul>
	Billingham West	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour on Sidlaw Road</li> </ul>
	Northern Parishes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour between Sunderland Road and Coal Lane Services Wolviston</li> </ul>
S3	Ingleby Barwick East	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and Groups Congregating - with core locations being Greenside Shops, Tesco, Beckfields Shops and Myton Shops</li> </ul>
	Ingleby Barwick West	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and Groups Congregating - with core locations being Greenside Shops, Tesco, Beckfields Shops and Myton Shops</li> </ul>
	Mandale and Victoria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Theft related offences around commercial premises at Teesside Retail Park</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and Drug dealing in Oxford Road</li> </ul>
	Stainsby Hill	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour in and around Thornaby Town Centre</li> </ul>
	Village	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour and Groups Congregating - core location being Thorntree Road shops</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour in Village Park</li> </ul>
S4	Eaglescliffe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour at Preston Park</li> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour around shopping parades</li> </ul>
	Yarm	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour around Healaugh Park/Whilly Flatts area</li> </ul>

**Middlesbrough Meetings**

<b>Neighbourhood Sector</b>	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Meeting</b>	<b>Issues raised</b>
NPT1	Ayresome	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over lack of stability in neighbourhood staff</li> <li>• Better information sharing needed between agencies</li> <li>• Issues with private landlords</li> </ul>
	Gresham	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Questions over budget for PCC</li> </ul>
	Linthorpe	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues raised</li> </ul>
	Middlehaven	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues raised</li> </ul>
	Park	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No issues raised</li> </ul>
	University	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic issues</li> </ul>
NPT2	Beckfield	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern around lack of police visibility on an evening and low police numbers</li> </ul>
	North Ormesby & Brambles Farm	North Ormesby Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issues with noise nuisance</li> </ul>
		Neighbourhood Watch Coordinators	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over the disbanding of the Mounted section</li> <li>• Concern over future stability of neighbourhood policing given the funding cuts</li> </ul>
		Brambles Farm Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Criminal Damage caused by antisocial use of ball games</li> </ul>
	Pallister	Berwick Hills Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of Neighbourhood Policing late at night means slow response to issues</li> </ul>

<b>Neighbourhood Sector</b>	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Meeting</b>	<b>Issues raised</b>
		Pallister Park Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Youth antisocial behaviour and street drinking highlighted as issues</li> </ul>
	Park End	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concern around racism and attitudes towards asylum seekers and refugees</li> <li>Concern about the absence of work with men in the Violence Against Women and Girls agenda</li> </ul>
	Thorntree	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Issues with off road bikes and fire setting</li> </ul>
NPT3	Acklam	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lack of continuity within neighbourhood teams raised as a concern</li> <li>Problem parking</li> </ul>
	Beechwood	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Will a Youth Commissioner be appointed</li> <li>Concern over potential impact of budget cuts on frontline policing</li> <li>Interest in sale of Ladgate Lane</li> </ul>
	Brookfield	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Problematic/obstructive parking</li> <li>Questions regarding collaboration between regional police Forces and the possibility of Forces merging</li> </ul>
	Clairville	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues raised</li> </ul>
	Kader	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Problems with snowballing</li> <li>Increase in house burglary</li> <li>Concern around reduction of NPT numbers</li> </ul>
	Ladgate	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues raised</li> </ul>
NPT4	Coulby Newham	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Future plans for recruitment</li> <li>Views on regional merging of police forces</li> <li>Plans for new police headquarters</li> <li>Concern around police corruption</li> </ul>

<b>Neighbourhood Sector</b>	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Meeting</b>	<b>Issues raised</b>
	Hemlington	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issues with dangerous driver</li> <li>• Issues with theft of gates for fire setting</li> <li>• Effectiveness of 101 non emergency number</li> <li>• Plans for new police headquarters</li> </ul>
	Marion	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over Force reputation following Sacristy and length of investigation</li> </ul>
	Marion West	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issues with youth Antisocial Behaviour, speeding and scrap metal dealers</li> <li>• Concern over cost of Sacristy and length of investigation</li> <li>• Concern over reduced neighbourhood police numbers</li> <li>• Reduction in communications from Neighbourhood Watch</li> </ul>
	Nunthorpe	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over the impact of Sacristy</li> <li>• More information sharing needed with neighbouring forces regarding rural issues</li> </ul>
	Stainton & Thornton	Community Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issues with youth Antisocial Behaviour around a new children's home</li> <li>• Concern over morale of staff following Operation Sacristy</li> <li>• Concern over reductions in PCSO numbers</li> </ul>
Middlesbrough wide		MyPlace Special Needs Youth Group	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issues with criminal damage in Thorntree – not hate crime related</li> <li>• Issues with bullying within schools and by local children</li> <li>• Police aren't always there when needed</li> <li>• More education needed on disability hate crime in schools</li> </ul>

Neighbourhood Sector	Ward	Meeting	Issues raised
		Regional Refugee Forum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of response from police to hate crime with incidents not always being correctly identified as hate crime</li> <li>• More awareness needed of Third Party Reporting Centres for hate crime</li> <li>• Concern around disproportionality of Stop &amp; Search amongst the BME community</li> <li>• More engagement needed with refugees and asylum seekers</li> </ul>
		Hate Crime CHAT group - adults with special needs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More work needed with bus companies as many disabled people experience disability hate crime on buses</li> </ul>
		LGB&T focus group	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reluctance to report hate crime due to lack of confidence that the police will deal sensitively with issues reported.</li> <li>• Lack of knowledge of key police hate crime contact - preference for reporting to a known officer rather than the Control Room.</li> </ul>
		National Federation of Occupation Pensioners	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over police telephone questionnaires</li> <li>• Proposals around improving support for domestic violence victims through court</li> </ul>

## Hartlepool Meetings

Neighbourhood Sector	Ward	Meeting	Issues raised
South & Central	Burn Valley	Burn Valley North Residents Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concern that budget cuts will mean neighbourhood teams are used for non neighbourhood duties</li> <li>Issues with tenants of private landlords and youth Antisocial Behaviour</li> <li>Concern over fall in confidence in police following Sacristy</li> </ul>
		Stockton Road Area Residents Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Issues with drug users and dealers</li> <li>Concern over removal of police teams from York Road office</li> </ul>
	Fens & Rossmere	Fens Residents Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concern that neighbourhood policing service is diluted compared with the past</li> <li>Issues with youth Antisocial Behaviour, off road bikes and problem horses</li> <li>Quicker response needed to reports of Antisocial Behaviour</li> </ul>
	Foggy Furze	Belle Vue Residents Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Issues with problem tenants</li> </ul>
	Manor House	Manor Residents Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>More stability needed in neighbourhood teams</li> <li>Need for community initiatives to be recognised</li> <li>Concerns around drug dealing</li> </ul>
	Rural West	Elwick Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lack of police presence in rural areas</li> <li>Reduced engagement with police</li> </ul>

Neighbourhood Sector	Ward	Meeting	Issues raised
		Greatham Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of visible neighbourhood policing aggravated by long term staff sickness</li> <li>• Concern over ability of PCSOs to cover a large area without access to a vehicle</li> <li>• Interest in work done by the police in schools</li> </ul>
		Park Residents Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Questions around membership of Police and Crime Panel and Finance post within PCC office</li> </ul>
	Victoria	Addison, Belk & Cameron Residents Group	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issues with motorises driving the wrong way down one way street</li> <li>• Reduced PCSO presence in the area since ward boundaries changed</li> </ul>
	All South & Central	South & Central Neighbourhood Forum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How to engage with people who don't access ICT</li> <li>• What consultation do the police and PCC undertake</li> <li>• Loss of engagement since Neighbourhood Action Partnerships stopped</li> </ul>
		Central Estate Management Organisation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over impact of budget cuts on front line policing</li> <li>• Issues with youth vandalism</li> <li>• Confusion over 101 number</li> <li>• Lack of police presence in area</li> </ul>
North & Coastal	Hart	Throston, Bishop Cuthberts & Clavering Residents Groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over reduction in PCSO numbers and potential impact on crime and ASB</li> <li>• Residents would like PCSOs to stay on shift later</li> <li>• Concern over potential for police privatisation, similar to Fire Service</li> </ul>

<b>Neighbourhood Sector</b>	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Meeting</b>	<b>Issues raised</b>
	Headland & Harbour	Burbank Bridge Community Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern around planning proposals for an off licence</li> <li>• Reduced police presence on ward</li> <li>• Lack of police feedback to communities</li> </ul>
		St Hildas Ladies Guild	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of visible policing</li> <li>• Issues with youth Antisocial Behaviour</li> </ul>
	Jesmond	Throston & Bishop Cuthberts Residents Associations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Police youth engagement</li> <li>• Specials recruitment</li> <li>• Night time economy strategies</li> </ul>
	Seaton	Friends of Seaton Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Deterioration of neighbourhood policing due to closure of Jutland Road</li> <li>• Lack of knowledge of local named officer</li> </ul>
	All North & Coastal	North & Coastal Neighbourhood Forum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nuisance horses</li> <li>• PCC and police youth engagement</li> <li>• Concern around potentially losing PCSOs aligned to wards</li> </ul>
Hartlepool wide		Hartlepool Voluntary Development Agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More funding needed for diversionary activities for young people</li> <li>• Would like to see an increase in PCSO powers</li> <li>• Concern over police time spent in A&amp;E</li> <li>• Need for a VCS Forum for organisations to feed into and receive support</li> <li>• Concern over levels of alcohol abuse</li> </ul>
		Hartlepool Diabetes Group	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Too much police focus on retail areas</li> <li>• Cycling on pavements</li> <li>• Youth antisocial behaviour and under age drinking</li> </ul>
		Hartlepool Over 50s Forum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over reduction in PCSO numbers in Hartlepool</li> <li>• Concern over rise in police precept</li> <li>• Concern over cost of Operation Sacristy</li> </ul>



## Redcar and Cleveland Meetings

Neighbourhood Sector	Ward	Meeting	Issues raised
Greater Eston	Eston	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issues with problem tenants in private rented properties</li> <li>• Issues around long process taken to tackle Antisocial Behaviour</li> </ul>
	Grangetown	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More positive interaction needed between police and young people</li> </ul>
	Normanby	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over restricted opening hours of local police station</li> </ul>
	Ormesby	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Questions regarding creation of new Neighbourhood Watch scheme in the area</li> </ul>
	South Bank	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over police time spent on retail issues</li> <li>• Interest in Proceeds of Crime money</li> <li>• Wanted a greater understanding of how the change to Integrated Neighbourhood Teams will affect local communities</li> </ul>
	Teesville	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antisocial Behaviour</li> </ul>
Coastal	Coatham	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of focus on residential areas due to police concentration in town centre</li> <li>• Too much police time spent on retail crime</li> <li>• Issues with speeding</li> <li>• Quicker response needed to drugs issues</li> </ul>
	Dormanstown	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issues with off road motorbikes</li> <li>• Lack of feedback when calling 101 and concern over cost of calls</li> <li>• Concern over reduction in PCSO numbers</li> </ul>

<b>Neighbourhood Sector</b>	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Meeting</b>	<b>Issues raised</b>
	Kirkleatham	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issues with off road bikes and horses</li> <li>• Concerns around drug dealing</li> <li>• Issues around Control Room processes</li> <li>• Concern around the impact of welfare reform on community safety</li> </ul>
	Longbeck	New Marske Residents Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over increased abstractions in Neighbourhood Policing and lack of stability in management structure</li> <li>• Concern around integrity of Force management following Operation Sacristy</li> <li>• Concern over Kirkleatham custody closure</li> <li>• Concern that budget cuts will affect front line policing arrangements</li> </ul>
	Newcomen	Newcomen Ward Forum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over removal of CCTV van</li> </ul>
		Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Youth Antisocial Behaviour on building site</li> <li>• Need for a variety of communication methods, not everyone has access to social media</li> </ul>
	Saltburn	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduction in service in Neighbourhood Watch</li> </ul>
	St Germain's	Marske Community Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over impact of budget reductions on frontline policing and aging workforce as a result of recruitment freeze</li> <li>• Concern over negative press following Sacristy</li> <li>• Lack of feedback to victims</li> </ul>
		Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of accessibility of Neighbourhood Watch Coordinator</li> <li>• Concern around amount of police time spent in A&amp;E</li> </ul>

Neighbourhood Sector	Ward	Meeting	Issues raised
	West Dyke	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over cost of Sacristy</li> <li>• Concern that neighbourhood policing numbers will be reduced with the budget cuts</li> <li>• Increases in metal theft</li> <li>• Concern around IT crime and what police resources are allocated to this</li> </ul>
	Zetland	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern around Neighbourhood Policing staffing levels</li> <li>• PCC plans for future policing budget</li> <li>• Youth Antisocial Behaviour</li> <li>• Support for Restorative Justice</li> </ul>
		East Redcar Residents Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over cost of Sacristy</li> <li>• Concern over reduction in Neighbourhood Watch messages</li> </ul>
Guisborough & East Cleveland	Brotton	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parking on pavements, litter, speeding and scrap metal dealers</li> <li>• Concern over cost of Operation Sacristy</li> <li>• Police callbacks well received</li> <li>• Chief Constable appointment</li> </ul>
		Freebrough Academy Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Plans for youth engagement</li> <li>• PCC plans for future policing budget</li> </ul>
	Guisborough	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drugs and off road bikes raised as issues</li> </ul>
	Hutton	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over Mounted Section disbanding</li> <li>• Concern over lack of continuity with staff and increased abstractions from neighbourhood policing</li> <li>• Concern that budget cuts will mean a loss of service for rural areas</li> </ul>

Neighbourhood Sector	Ward	Meeting	Issues raised
	Lockwood	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over ability of police to respond effectively to rural incidents</li> <li>• Question around work ongoing regarding rural crime</li> <li>• Lack of police presence in the area</li> </ul>
	Loftus	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over transfer of local officer</li> <li>• Interest in what cross border policing takes place</li> <li>• Views on regional police force</li> </ul>
		Town Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of stability in Neighbourhood Teams</li> <li>• Concern over cost of Operation Sacristy</li> <li>• Plans around joint working with other PCCS</li> </ul>
	Skelton	Action North Skelton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Youth Antisocial Behaviour</li> </ul>
		Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over abstractions from neighbourhood policing, reduced staffing numbers and closure of Kirkleatham custody</li> </ul>
	Westworth	Neighbourhood Action Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduction in visibility of front line neighbourhood staff</li> <li>• Concerns around rural crime</li> <li>• Need to work with retail around shoplifting</li> <li>• Support for development of Restorative Justice</li> <li>• Reduction in service from Neighbourhood Watch</li> </ul>
	Guisborough and East Cleveland wide	Farmwatch	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of communications from Neighbourhood Watch</li> <li>• lack of knowledge of officers regarding rural issues and the legislation available to tackle issues such as poaching</li> </ul>

## Stockton Meetings

Neighbourhood Sector	Ward	Meeting	Issues raised	
S1	Bishopsgarth & Elm Tree	Ward surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concern that Neighbourhood PCs becoming second tier response so cannot undertake neighbourhood duties</li> </ul>	
	Fairfield	Fairfield Residents meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Traffic issues and scrap metal dealers</li> </ul>	
	Grangefield	Ward surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues raised</li> </ul>	
	Hardwick	Hardwick Residents meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Issues with dog fouling</li> <li>More communication needed between police and social landlords</li> <li>Good crime prevention advice given by local team</li> </ul>	
	Hartburn	Coffee Morning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Problematic parking</li> </ul>	
	Newtown	Newtown Community meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concern over abstractions of neighbourhood team and reduced visible presence in ward</li> <li>Questions around Steria contract</li> </ul>	
	Norton North	Bluehall Residents Group		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Complicated complaints process</li> <li>Police need to spread positive messages</li> <li>Lack of attendance at neighbourhood meetings by police</li> </ul>
		Norton Village Residents Association		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increase in shoplifting</li> <li>Better media communications needed by Police</li> </ul>
	Norton South	Ward surgery		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Issues with amount of officer time spent travelling to ward since closure of Billingham Police office</li> </ul>
	Norton West	Ward surgery		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues raised</li> </ul>

Neighbourhood Sector	Ward	Meeting	Issues raised
	Parkfield & Oxbridge	Joint Oxbridge and Parkfield Residents Groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over reductions in neighbourhood policing numbers</li> <li>• Concern over Mounted Section disbanding</li> <li>• Issues with speeding</li> </ul>
	Roseworth	Roseworth Residents Group	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issues with off road bikes</li> <li>• Low numbers of neighbourhood staff</li> </ul>
	Town Centre	Stockton Town Centre Residents Group	• No issues raised
		St Annes Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fly tipping</li> <li>• Concern around stability of Neighbourhood Policing numbers</li> <li>• Concerns around information sharing between police teams</li> <li>• Concern over police time spent on shoplifting</li> </ul>
		Riverside Medical Practice	• Lack of visible police patrol
	Western Parishes	Stillington Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confidence in policing falling</li> <li>• Reduction in visible police presence</li> </ul>
		Long Newton Residents Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern over lack of visible police presence in rural areas</li> <li>• Traffic issues around Rectory Road</li> <li>• Concern over police morale following Sacristy</li> </ul>
S2	Billingham	Billingham Town Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More work needed with young people</li> <li>• Concern over proposed changes to Probation</li> </ul>
	Billingham South	Ward surgery	• Support for neighbourhood policing

Neighbourhood Sector	Ward	Meeting	Issues raised
	Northern Parishes	Grindon Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concern that neighbourhood policing service is diluted compared with the past</li> <li>Concern over Mounted Section disbanding</li> <li>Low confidence in policing following Sacristy</li> </ul>
		Wolviston Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Issues with speeding</li> <li>Concern over reputation of Cleveland Police following Sacristy</li> <li>Concern over Victim Support referral – victim did not want to be contacted</li> </ul>
S3	Ingleby Barwick	Town Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Antisocial Behaviour around Tescos store</li> <li>Concern over ability of neighbourhood policing structure to cover resources when staff on long term sickness</li> </ul>
	Mandale and Victoria	Ward surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concern raised by officers over Force restructure in relation to centralisation of roles and reduced public interaction</li> </ul>
	Stainsby Hill	Stainsby Hill Residents Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concern over police time spent on shoplifting</li> <li>Plans around new Police HQ</li> </ul>
		Eltham Crescent Community Centre	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Motorbike nuisance in woods and cycling on pavements in town centre</li> <li>Concern over cost of Community Safety Awards</li> </ul>
	Village	Ward surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues raised</li> </ul>
S4	Eaglescliffe	Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concern around door step sellers</li> <li>Police involvement in 'designing out' crime</li> </ul>
		Ward surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No issues raised</li> </ul>

Neighbourhood Sector	Ward	Meeting	Issues raised
	Yarm	Residents Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern around stability of Neighbourhood Policing numbers</li> <li>• Plans for collaboration with other PCCs</li> <li>• How to raise confidence in policing following Sacristy issues</li> </ul>
Stockton wide		Disability Advisory Group	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern around dog on guide dog attacks</li> <li>• Police plans for engaging more effectively with the disabled community</li> <li>• Issues with fireworks</li> <li>• Plans around disability hate crime</li> </ul>
		Bede Sixth Form College	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issues around perceptions of young people from adults</li> <li>• Concern over reductions in police budget leading to crime increases</li> <li>• What will be done to improve issues such as hate crime</li> <li>• Questions around politics and policing</li> </ul>
		Stockton Sixth Form College	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More publicity needed for 101 non emergency number</li> <li>• Lack of visible police presence at peak times</li> <li>• Fear of crime on an evening</li> <li>• Issues around traveller community</li> </ul>
		Youth Assembly	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need to raise awareness of diversionary activities available for young people</li> <li>• Need to promote positive images of young people and avoid negative stereotyping</li> </ul>
		Older Persons Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• People are often reluctant to report crime as they feel little action is taken</li> <li>• How do you plan to engage with older people</li> </ul>



Neighbourhood Sector	Ward	Meeting	Issues raised
		University of the Third Age	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What training do police receive on mental health issues</li> <li>• Affect of Sacristy on morale</li> <li>• Interest in Community Payback and Safe Places scheme</li> <li>• Concern regarding ability of staff to cover a large area without access to a vehicle</li> </ul>