



THE POLICE & CRIME COMMISSIONER FOR CLEVELAND

DECISION RECORD FORM

REQUEST: For PCC approval.

Title: Restorative Justice Services

Executive Summary: Police and Crime Commissioners (PCCs) are responsible for the overall availability of Restorative Justice (RJ) services to those harmed by crime in their local area. In order to achieve this, specific funding is provided by the Ministry of Justice (MOJ) from which to commission local service delivery. This funding is restricted to victims of crime and RJ that is victim initiated. However, much concern has been raised nationally as to whether restricting to victim initiated RJ is reducing the pool of potential victims and offenders willing to take part.

In the 2015-17 Police and Crime Plan the PCC for Cleveland made a commitment to 'continue working with partners towards establishing a consistent approach to Restorative Justice'. Therefore in April 2015, the PCC made a pledge together with partners to develop the concept of Restorative Cleveland, the aim being to ensure that at any stage of their journey victims can access high quality RJ that builds on existing provision and ensures a high level consistent service across the Cleveland area.

The current service is being delivered through secondments to the PCC, however it was proposed that the current arrangements come to an end on 31 March 2018 as per grant agreements and a service specification be developed to allow a tender process to take place. It was anticipated a service specification be developed during 2017/18 to enable the awarding of a contract by 1 April 2018.

In November 2017, an advert was placed in the European Journal and the Bluelight E-tendering system inviting suppliers to bid for the service. An open tender was carried out using EU Supply as the tender portal, advertising the contract in Contract Finder and OJEU as per the Public Procurement Regulations 2015.

11 suppliers expressed interest, however only three bids were received by the closing date of 12 Noon on the 5th December 2017.

In addition to a number of mandatory pass/fail questions such as mandatory/discretionary exclusion/financial viability/insurance etc., the evaluation criterion was 50% Quality and Service Delivery, 10% Case Study/Presentation and 40% Price. Although in the current financial climate price is a key factor, it was felt that a quality service particularly in relation to victim focus was procured.

The evaluation team which consisted of Procurement, OPCC staff and an independent evaluation team member from North Yorkshire PCC scored the bids and case study in accordance with the evaluation criteria issued with the bid documents. The outcome of the evaluation process was:

Bidder 1 – 67%

Bidder 2 – 62.02%

Bidder 3 – 70%

Bidder 3 price submission was in line with the financial envelope as was the most economically advantageous tender. The acceptance of the preferred bidder has been approved in line with

the current Contract Standing Orders. The award of the contract is published as it was agreed to be of public interest.

Decision: That the Police and Crime Commissioner note the procurement process used to appoint a supplier.

The Police and Crime Commissioner approve the procurement methods investigated and recommendations put forward by the evaluation team to award the contract to Bidder 3.

OPCC Lead Officer: R Kipling

Contractor Details (if applicable): Safe in Tees Valley

Implications:

Has consideration been taken of the following:	Yes	No	
Financial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Legal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Equality & Diversity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Human Rights	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Sustainability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Risk	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

(If yes please provide further details below)

Decision Required – Supporting Information

Financial Implications: (Must include comments of the PCC’s Chief Finance Officer where the decision has financial implications)

The costs set out for the provision of this service are in line with those included within the LTFFP and therefore are affordable based on current plans and assumptions.

Legal Implications: (Must include comments of the Monitoring Officer where the decision has legal implication)

Having read this report and having considered such information as has been provided at the time of being asked to express this view, the Chief Executive is satisfied that this report does not ask the PCC to make a decision which would (or would be likely to) give rise to a contravention of the law.

Equality and Diversity Implications

None arise.

Human Rights Implications


None arise.


Sustainability Implications

None arise.

Risk Management Implications

There is a risk that that if the decision to award the contract is delayed this will impact on the transition and mobilisation plan identified by the Bidder 3.

OFFICER APPROVAL
Monitoring Officer
I have been consulted about the decision and confirm that financial, legal, and equalities advice has been taken into account. I am satisfied that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the Police and Crime Commissioner.
Signed  Date 19/12/17

Police and Crime Commissioner:
The above request HAS my approval.
Signed  Date 19/12/17