

THE POLICE & CRIME COMMISSIONER FOR CLEVELAND

DECISION RECORD FORM

REQUEST:						
Approve the award of a vehicle data recording solution contract.						
Title:						
Vehicle data recording solution.						
Executive Summary:						
Following a procurement exercise, a new contract is to data recording devices. The new devices will replace the in place since 2004 and are expensive to maintain and data recording devices is in accordance with IPCC best incident data they also influence driver behaviour and expensive to the contract that they also influence driver behaviour and expensive the contract is to determine the contract that the contract is to determine the contract is to determine the contract that the contract is to determine the contract is determined to determine the contract i	ne current d are appro st practice	infrastructur baching end as they not	e which have been I of life. The use of only record vehicle			
Decision:						
The PCC is asked to approve a contract recommendation for the provision of a vehicle data recording solution.						
Implications:						
Has consideration been taken of the following:	Yes	No				
Financial	\boxtimes					
Legal	\boxtimes					
Equality & Diversity	\boxtimes					
Human Rights						
Sustainability						
Risk \times \tim						
(If yes please provide further details below)						

Decision Required – Supporting Information

Financial Implications: (Must include comments of the PCC's CFO where the decision has financial implications)

The implementation cost and maintenance of the new data recording soution and current infrastructure will be met by capital and revenue expenditure. 44 vehicles will be installed with the new devices during 2013/14 at a total capital cost of £54,944

Annual revenue costs are as follows:

2014 - £45168

2015 - £47224

2016 - £45805

2017 - £44052

2018 - £44395

2019 - £47655

2020 - £49611

2021 - £51567

Legal Implications: (Must include comments of the Monitoring Officer where the decision has legal implication)

The contract is to be awarded under Force terms and conditions. There are no legal implications associated with the award of this contract.

Equality and Diversity Implications

All diversity and equality considerations have been taken into account during tender analysis. There are no diversity and equality implications associated with the award of this contract.

Human Rights Implications

There are no Human Rights Act implications associated with the award of this contract.

Sustainability Implications

There are no sustainability implications associated with the award of this contract.

Risk Management Implications

There are no risk implications associated with the award of this contract. The recommended bidders have a very comprehensive understanding of the contract requirements and are a current contractor to the Force.

OFFICER APPROVAL

A 01-64

	ed about the decision and confirm that account. I am satisfied that this is an a e Commissioner.			
Signed:	Jelle L	Date:	9/15/15	
Police and Crime (Commissioner: HAS / DOES NOT HAVE my approval.			
Signed:	O Cami	Date:	15/10/13	





Report of the Chief Constable to the Police and Crime Commissioner for Cleveland

Status: For Decision

Procurement Report for the Provision of a Vehicle Data Recording Solution

1. Purpose

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to advise the Police and Crime Commissioner of the procurement process undertaken during the recent exercise to award a contract for the provision of a vehicle data recording solution.
- 1.2 In accordance with IPCC best practice, vehicle data recording devices are in use within Cleveland Police vehicles and have been since 2004.
- 1.3 In September 2012, The Force's Driver Standards Gold Group approved the refresh of the vehicle data recording devices and subsequent capital expenditure was approved in order to replace the current data recording infrastructure which is expensive to maintain and approaching end of life.
- 1.4 A new vehicle data recording solution will not only provide a more cost effective solution, it will also ensure the fleet is utilised efficiently as well as positively influencing driver behaviour.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Police and Crime Commissioner note the procurement process used to appoint a contract for a vehicle data recording solution.
- 2.2 The Police and Crime Commissioner accept the tender response from bidder 6 as the best value solution.

3. Background

3.1 An open tender was the chosen procedure for this procurement. The open tender procedure involves advertising the contract opportunity and then sending the tender documentation to all interested parties to complete and return. The tender document focused on organisational/legislative compliance questions, the organisations experience and technical competence to deliver the service as well as pricing, service management and contract delivery.

- 3.2 Following an advertisement of the contract opportunity through the national etendering portal, Bluelight, on 18th June 2013, eight organisations submitted completed tender returns on 2nd August 2013.
- 3.3 The Project Evaluation Team agreed the format and assessed the tender submissions over 2 stages on 7th August and 28th August 2013.
- 3.4 One of the bidders was withdrawn from the tender evaluation due to not submitting the mandatory documentation as part of their tender. Three of the returned tender submissions scored poorly with regard to previous experience in delivering similar contracts, technical competence to provide an efficient and cost effective solution, and also the storage capacity and durability of the product.
- 3.5 Three of the remaining bidders scored reasonably well as they provided detailed and comprehensive responses. However it was bidder 6 that provided the most competitive, detailed and thorough tender response in order to maintain the current infrastructure whilst implementing a new data storage solution across Cleveland Police's operational fleet.
- 3.6 Whilst reviewing the proposal from bidder 6, several clarification questions were raised by the Evaluation Team regarding: data storage, remote serviceability and any additional hosting hardware that would be required.
- 3.7 In order to address the clarifications raised by the Team, bidder 6 was invited to present their proposal to the Evaluation Team on 2nd September 2013.
- 3.8 Following the presentation and completion of the evaluation exercise, it was clear that bidder 6 has provided the most cost effective and practical solution.
- 3.9 The choice made by the Evaluation Team is for Bidder 6 to be awarded the contract for the provision of a vehicle data recording solution as they submitted the most economically advantageous tender in accordance with the published award criteria.
- 3.10 Subject to final approval, the new contract is due to commence on $1^{\rm st}$ November 2013.

4. Implications

4.1 Finance

The implementation cost and maintenance of the new data recording solution and current infrastructure will be met by capital and revenue expenditure.

4.2 The 2013/14 capital costs are detailed in the table overleaf:

Data Recording Solution and Installation (44 vehicles)	£40,656
Software Installation	£2,395
System training	£1,000
Database creation	£995
SIM card and licensing	£3,898
Hosting Server & Laptop	£6,000
Total	£54,944

- 4.3 Annual revenue costs are as follows and are based on the following data recorder replacement programme:
 - **2013/2014 44 Vehicles**
 - 2014/2015 50 Vehicles
 - 2015/2016 50 Vehicles
 - 2016/2017 50 Vehicles
 - 2017/2018 25 Vehicles

infrastructure					£44,395	£47,655	£49,611	£51,567
Maintenance of current	£38332	£27380	£16428	£5476	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sim Card – New devices (Per vehicle)	N/A	£7,426	£11,376	£15,326	£17,301	£17,301	£17,301	£17,301
Annual Hardware maintenance costs	£,5516	£11,032	£16,548	£22,064	£25,908	£29,168	£31,124	£33,080
Annual Software maintenance costs	£990	£1056	£1,123	£1,186	£1,186	£1,186	£1,186	£1,186
Software License	£330	£330	£330	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0
ICT Requirement - Annual Cost	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021

- 4.4 A cashable saving has been generated of approximately £4,854 due to no maintenance service being required on the new 44 data recording devices in 2013/14.
- 4.5 Several of the above items will also be procured through existing contracts to achieve greater value for money (for example, data SIM cards, hosting server and laptop).
- 4.6 <u>Legal</u>

The contract is to be awarded under Force terms and conditions. There are no legal implications associated with the award of this contract.

4.7 <u>Diversity & Equal Opportunities</u>

All diversity and equality considerations have been taken into account during tender analysis. There are no diversity and equality implications associated with the award of this contract.

4.8 <u>Human Rights Act</u>

There are no Human Rights Act implications associated with the award of this contract.

4.9 <u>Sustainability</u>

All sustainability considerations have been taken into account during tender analysis. There are no sustainability implications associated with the award of this contract.

4.10 Risk

There are no risk implications associated with the award of this contract. The recommended bidder has a very comprehensive understanding of the contract requirements and is a current supplier to the Force.

5. Conclusions

- 5.1 The vehicle data recording solution contract has been awarded in compliance with EU Legislation.
- 5.2 The evaluation has been conducted in a fair, comprehensive, thorough and transparent process.
- 5.3 The tender submission from bidder 6 has fully met the specification of requirements.
- 5.4 The Evaluation Team are fully confident that the procurement process has achieved best value and the new contract will provide an efficient and cost effective service to Cleveland Police.

Jacqui Cheer Chief Constable

Evaluation Team:

Procurement & Fleet Business Partner - Steria Procurement Category Leader - Steria Fleet Technical Officer - Steria ICT service and Support Manager - Steria Information security officer - Cleveland Police CIO Officer - Cleveland Police Operations Chief Inspector - Cleveland Police PSD Chief Inspector - Cleveland Police

Appendix 1

<u>Tender Score – Vehicle Data Recording Solution</u>

Bidder Number	Resources & Quality Assurance	Experience & Technical Capability	Price Score	Total Score
Bidder 1	9%	26%	39%	74%
Bidder 2	9%	15%	40%	64%
Bidder 3	6%	27%	38%	71%
Bidder 4	3%	7%	35%	45%
Bidder 5	9%	14%	29%	52%
Bidder 6 Bidder 7	9% 8%	38% 18%	40% 35%	87% 61%

